July 29, 2013

Ada County Highway District
Attention: Secretary of the Highway District
3775 Adams Street
Boise, ID 83714

Re: Request for Reconsideration

Dear Secretary and Commissioners:

Please accept this letter as a formal request for reconsideration of Regular Agenda Item No. 3 – Master License Agreement with the City of Boise on the July 24, 2013 meeting agenda pursuant to ACHD policy 1006.11.

Subsequent to the July 24, 2013 meeting, I became aware that the vehicle detection sensors could be installed on the curb or on the existing meter poles instead of being imbedded in the road surface. This information was not available to the commission at the time of the July 24, 2013 meeting and represents a significant, material fact which likely would have affected the outcome.

Boise City did not disclose to ACHD that there were alternatives to their requested in-pavement installation of the vehicle detection sensors. In fact, it appears that there are several installation methods available, that there are alternatives to in-pavement installation which would “reduce cost, increase accuracy and increase life expectancy of the sensor and sensor battery”, and, most importantly, that there are alternatives which do not put the District at risk of damaged pavement and/or increased maintenance costs. While I have no objection to the City installing the vehicle detection sensors on the meter poles, I have serious reservations about in-pavement installation when there are alternatives available to the City.

Please place this request for reconsideration on the agenda for the next scheduled regular Commission meeting. Thank you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Rebecca Arnold
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RFP 13-103
Parking Meter Replacement
Department of Finance and Administration
Boise City Purchasing
Missy Morrison
P.O. Box 500
Boise, Idaho 83701-0500
Phone (208) 384-3779
Fax (208) 384-3995
MMorrison@CityofBoise.org
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**Message:**
You are hereby notified of the following changes and/or clarifications to the above referenced project.

**Questions:**

**Q:** What are the number of transactions per meter per month we should use for our pricing model?

**A:** 50 total transactions per day based on 100 meters (not 50 transactions on one meter)

**Q:** The RFP references some Payment Card Industry Requirements. Please clarify which certification are seeking -- PA-DSS and PCI-DSS (vs PA-QSS and PCI-QSS)?

**A:** We are seeking PA-DSS and PCI-DSS.

**Q:** Does the City require a grace period given on the meter with a touch of a button?

**A:** Yes, a onetime 20 minute grace period.

**Q:** The City is requesting “In Ground” sensor technology. Mounting a sensor in ground is currently one of several installation methods available. There are additional methods available to the City that reduce cost, increase accuracy and increase life expectancy of the sensor and sensor battery. This requirement may exclude other vendors from participating in your procurement process. We would respectfully request that the City also consider surface mount sensors, curb mounted sensors and sensors mounted to the existing single space meter pole all options would communicate directly with the single space meter. Please confirm that the City will consider vendors with alternate approaches to this function.

**A:** We are only looking for in-ground sensors.

This Addendum is hereby as of the above posted date made a part of the project requirements and contract documents for the referenced project. You are to note the receipt of, and compliance with this Addendum upon the space provided within the bid or proposal. Failure to acknowledge this Addendum does not relieve you from fulfilling the Addendum requirements. This is the only communication you will receive regarding this Addendum.

*IF ALL PAGES WERE NOT RECEIVED OR THERE IS A PROBLEM WITH THE TRANSMITTAL, PLEASE CONTACT OUR OFFICE.*